Has it been duly marked by historians that William Jennings Bryan's last secular act on this globe of sin was to catch flies? A curious detail, and not without its sardonic overtones. He was the most sedulous fly-catcher in American history, and in many ways the most successful. His quarry, of course, was not Musca domestica but Homo neandertalensis. For forty years he tracked it with coo and bellow, up and down the rustic backways of the Republic. Wherever the flambeaux of Chautauqua smoked and guttered, and the bilge of idealism ran in the veins, and Baptist pastors dammed the brooks with the sanctified, and men gathered who were weary and heavy laden, and their wives who were full of Peruna and as fecund as the shad (alosa sapidissima), there the indefatigable Jennings set up his traps and spread his bait. He knew every country town in the South and West, and he could crowd the most remote of them to suffocation by simply winding his horn. The city proletariat, transiently flustered by him in 1896, quickly penetrated his buncombe and would have no more of him; the cockney gallery jeered him at every Democratic national convention for twenty-five years. But out where the grass grows high, and the horned cattle dream away the lazy afternoons, and men still fear the powers and principalities of the air - out there between the corn-rows he held his old puissance to the end. There was no need of beaters to drive in his game. The news that he was coming was enough. For miles the flivver dust would choke the roads. And when he rose at the end of the day to discharge his Message there would be such breathless attention, such a rapt and enchanted ecstasy, such a sweet rustle of amens as the world had not known in Johann fell to Herod's ax.

There was something peculiarly fitting in the fact that his last days were spent in a one-horse Tennessee village, beating off the flies and gnats, and that death found him there. The man felt at home in such simple and Christian scenes. He liked people who sweated freely, and were not debauched by the refinements of the toilet. Making his progress up and down the Main street of little Dayton, surrounded by gaping primates from upland valleys of the Cumberland Range, his coat laid aside, his bare arms and hairy chest shining damply, his bald head sprinkled with dust - so accoutred and on display, he was obviously happy. He liked getting up early in the morning, to the tune of cocks crowing on the dunghill. He liked the heavy, greasy victuals of the farmhouse kitchen. He liked country lawyers, country pastors, all country people. He liked country sounds and country smells.

I believe that this liking was sincere - perhaps the only sincere thing in the man. His nose showed no uneasiness when a hillman in faded overalls and hickory shirt accosted him on the street, and besought him for light upon some mystery of Holy Writ. The simian gabble of the cross-roads was not gabble to him, but wisdom of an occult and superior sort. In the presence of city folks he was palpably uneasy. Their clothes, I suspect, annoyed him, and he was suspicious of their too delicate manners. He knew all the while that they were laughing at him - if not at his baroque theology, then at least at his alpaca pantaloons. But the yokels never laughed at him. To them he was not the huntsman but the prophet, and toward the end, as he gradually forsook mundane politics for more ghostly concerns, they began to elevate him in their hierarchy. When he died he was the peer of Abraham. His old enemy, Wilson, aspiring to the same white and shining robe, came down with a thump. But Bryan made the grade. His place in Tennessee hagiography is secure. If the village barber saved any of his hair, then it is curing gall-stones down there today.
But what label will he bear in more urbane regions? One, I fear, of a far less flattering kind.
Bryan lived too long, and descended too deeply into the mud, to be taken seriously hereafter by
fully literate men, even of the kind who write schoolbooks. There was a scattering of sweet
words in his funeral notices, but it was no more than a response to conventional sentimentality.
The best verdict the most romantic editorial writer could dredge up, save in the humorless South,
was to the general effect that his imbecilities were excused by his earnestness - that under his
clowning, as under that of the juggler of Notre Dame, there was the zeal of a steadfast soul. But
this was apology, not praise; precisely the same thing might be said of Mary Baker G. Eddy. The
truth is that even Bryan's sincerity will probably yield to what is called, in other fields, definitive
criticism. Was he sincere when he opposed imperialism in the Philippines, or when he fed it with
deserving Democrats in Santo Domingo? Was he sincere when he tried to shove the
Prohibitionists under the table, or when he seized their banner and began to lead them with loud
whoops? Was he sincere when he bellowed against war, or when he dreamed of himself as a tin-
soldier in uniform, with a grave reserved at Arlington among the generals? Was he sincere when
he fawned over Champ Clark, or when he betrayed Clark? Was he sincere when he pleaded for
tolerance in New York, or when he bawled for the faggot and the stake in Tennessee?

This talk of sincerity, I confess, fatigues me. If the fellow was sincere, then so was P. T. Barnum.
The word is disgraced and degraded by such uses. He was, in fact, a charlatan, a mountebank, a
zany without sense or dignity. His career brought him into contact with the first men of his time;
he preferred the company of rustic ignoramuses. It was hard to believe, watching him in Dayton,
that he had traveled, that he had been received in civilized societies, that he had been a high
officer of state. He seemed only a poor clod like those around him, deluded by a childish
theology, full of an almost pathological hatred of all learning, all human dignity, all beauty, all
fine and noble things. He was a peasant come home to the barnyard. Imagine a gentleman, and
you have imagined everything that he was not. What animated him from end to end of his
grotesque career was simply ambition - the ambition of a common man to get his hand upon the
collar of his superiors, or failing that, to get his thumb into their eyes. He was born with a roaring
voice, and it had the trick of inflaming half-wits. His whole career was devoted to raising those
half-wits against their betters, that he himself might shine.

His last battle will be grossly misunderstood if it is thought of as a mere exercise in fanaticism -
that is, if Bryan the Fundamentalist Pope is mistaken for one of the bucolic Fundamentalists.
There was much more in it than that, as everyone knows who saw him on the field. What moved
him, at bottom, was simply hatred of the city men who had laughed at him so long, and brought
him at last to so tatterdemalion an estate. He lusted for revenge upon them. He yearned to lead
the anthropoid rabble against them, to punish them for their execution upon him by attacking the
very vitals of their civilization. He went far beyond the bounds of any religious frenzy, however
inordinate. When he began denouncing the notion that man is a mammal even some of the hinds
at Dayton were agape. And when, brought upon Clarence Darrow's cruel hook, he writhed and
tossed in a very fury of malignancy, bawling against the veriest elements of sense and decency
like a man frantic - when he came to that tragic climax of his striving there were snickers among
the hinds as well as hosannas.

Upon that hook, in truth, Bryan committed suicide, as a legend as well as in the body. He
staggered from the rustic court ready to die, and he staggered from it ready to be forgotten, save
as a character in a third-rate farce, witless and in poor taste. It was plain to everyone who knew him, when he came to Dayton, that his great days were behind him - that, for all the fury of his hatred, he was now definitely an old man, and headed at last for silence. There was a vague, unpleasant manginess about his appearance; he somehow seemed dirty, though a close glance showed him as carefully shaven as an actor, and clad in immaculate linen. All the hair was gone from the dome of his head, and it had begun to fall out, too, behind his ears, in the obscene manner of Samuel Gompers. The resonance had departed from his voice; what was once a bugle blast had become reedy and quavering. Who knows that, like Demosthenes, he had a lisp? In the old days, under the magic of his eloquence, no one noticed it. But when he spoke at Dayton it was always audible.

When I first encountered him, on the sidewalk in front of the office of the rustic lawyers who were his associates in the Scopes case, the trial was yet to begin, and so he was still expansive and amiable. I had printed in the Nation, a week or so before, an article arguing that the Tennessee anti-evolution law, whatever its wisdom, was at least constitutional - that the yahoos of the State had a clear right to have their progeny taught whatever they chose, and kept secure from whatever knowledge violated their superstitions. The old boy professed to be delighted with the argument, and gave the gaping bystanders to understand that I was a publicist of parts. Not to be outdone, I admired the preposterous country shirt that he wore - sleeveless and with the neck cut very low. We parted in the manner of two ambassadors.

But that was the last touch of amiability that I was destined to see in Bryan. The next day the battle joined and his face became hard. By the end of the week he was simply a walking fever. Hour by hour he grew more bitter. What the Christian Scientists call malicious animal magnetism seemed to radiate from him like heat from a stove. From my place in the courtroom, standing upon a table, I looked directly down upon him, sweating horribly and pumping his palm-leaf fan. His eyes fascinated me; I watched them all day long. They were blazing points of hatred. They glittered like occult and sinister gems. Now and then they wandered to me, and I got my share, for my reports of the trial had come back to Dayton, and he had read them. It was like coming under fire.

Thus he fought his last fight, thirsting savagely for blood. All sense departed from him. He bit right and left, like a dog with rabies. He descended to demagogy so dreadful that his very associates at the trial table blushed. His one yearning was to keep his yokels hated up - to lead his forlorn mob of imbeciles against the foe. That foe, alas, refused to be alarmed. It insisted upon seeing the whole battle as a comedy. Even Darrow, who knew better, occasionally yielded to the prevailing spirit. One day he lured poor Bryan into the folly I have mentioned: his astounding argument against the notion that man is a mammal. I am glad I heard it, for otherwise I'd never believe it. There stood the man who had been thrice a candidate for the Presidency of the Republic - there he stood in the glare of the world, uttering stuff that a boy of eight would laugh at. The artful Darrow led him on: he repeated it, ranted for it, bellowed it in his cracked voice. So he was prepared for the final slaughter. He came into life a hero, a Galahad, in bright and shining armor. He was passing out a poor mountebank.